

Application No: 10/045,467

Page 2

Remarks

Claims 1-6 and 8-10 are currently pending in the Application.

Response dated October 6, 2005

Applicants submit that the response dated October 6, 2005 inadvertently failed to address Examiner's rejection of Claim 10 in view of Wiltshire (U.S. Patent No. 5,313,562). The present supplemental response is meant to rectify this error by addressing Examiner's rejection as follows.

35 U.S.C. §102(b) rejection in view of Wiltshire (U.S. Patent No. 5,313,562)

Claim 10 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Wiltshire.

Applicants respectfully disagree. Applicants submit that Wiltshire does not teach each and every element as set forth in the rejected claims. In particular:

Claim 10

Applicants submit that Wiltshire does not disclose, suggest or teach, *inter alia*, at least the following features recited by Claim 10 of the present application:

"four electrodes disposed on the first substrate and at corners of each display cell" (emphasis added)

The Examiner asserts that a "display cell" as recited in Claim 10 is disclosed by Wiltshire's cell "1." See page 5, lines 10-11 of the Official Action. The Examiner also asserts that "four electrodes" as recited in Claim 10 is disclosed by Wiltshire's electrodes "9-12." See page 5, lines 15-16 of the Official Action.
Applicants respectfully disagree

According to Wiltshire, the electrodes "9-12" run from the center of each side of the cell "1" to the center of the cell "1." See Figure 1 of Wiltshire. Because Wiltshire's electrodes "9-12" are not disposed at the corners of cell "1," Wiltshire does not teach, disclose or suggest "four electrodes disposed on the first substrate and at corners of each display cell" (emphasis added) as recited in Claim 10.

Application No: 10/045,467

Page 3

Hence, Claim 10 is patentable over Wiltshire and should be allowed by the Examiner.

Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of all the claims are respectfully solicited.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or credit overpayment to deposit account no. 12-0415. In particular, if this response is not timely filed, then the Commissioner is authorized to treat this response as including a petition to extend the time period pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136 (a) requesting an extension of time of the number of months necessary to make this response timely filed and the petition fee due in connection therewith may be charged to deposit account no. 12-0415.

I hereby certify that this correspondence
is being facsimile transmitted
to the United States Patent and
Trademark Office, fax no.
(571) 273-8300 and (571) 273-6444 on

October 21, 2005
(Date of Transmission)

Shannon Tinsley
(Name of Person Signing)

Tinsley
(Signature)

October 21, 2005
(Date)

Respectfully submitted,



Robert Popa
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 43,010
LADAS & PARRY
5670 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2100
Los Angeles, California 90036
(323) 934-2300